

OPTIONAL FORM 39 (7-90)

FAX TRANSMITTAL

of pages 3

U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL
POLLUTICTo: R. Karl/B. Messenger
Dept./Agency
From: P.C. Lall
Phone #

Fax #

NSN 7540-01-317 7388

EPA Region 5 Records Ctr.

ATON



302891

I. HEADING

Date: May 1, 1995

Subject: Venture Rim Products, Walled Lake, Commerce
Township, Oakland County, Michigan

From: P.C. Lall, OSC, Region V, RS1, Grosse Ile, MI

To:

D. Dietrich-Attn: T. Johnson, USEPA, OSWER, Wash. D.C.

FAX (703) 603-9116

R. Karl, Chief, EERB, Chicago, IL.....FAX (312) 353-9176

B. Messenger, Chief, ESS, Chicago, IL.....FAX (312) 886-9176

Chief, EERB, RS1, Grosse Ile, MI.....FAX (313) 692-7677

J. Cox, ORC, Chicago, IL.....FAX (312) 886-0747

M. McCue-Attn: H. Valetkevitch, OPA.....FAX (312) 353-1155

M. Adelman, MDNR, ERD, Lansing, MI.....FAX (517) 335-4887

R. Berak, MDNR.....FAX (313) 953-0241

R. Bolitho, Commerce Twp. Fire Dept.....FAX (810) 624-5384

Duty Officer, National Response Center, Wash. D.C..U.S. Mail

Polrep No.: PRP Removal-POLREP 1 (Initial)

II. BACKGROUND

Site No.:	XA
Delivery Order No.:	N/A
Response Authority:	CERCLA
ERNS No.:	N/A
CERCLIS No.:	MID981191262
NPL Status:	No
State Notification:	Referred by MDNR
Action Memorandum Status:	Signed
Start Date:	April 17, 1995
Demobilization Date:	N/A
Completion Date:	N/A

III. SITE INFORMATION

A. Incident Category Abandoned production facility
presently being used for boat, RV,
and auto storage.

B. Site Description

1. Site location

The Venture Rim Products site is located at 1080
Welch Road, Walled Lake, Commerce Township,

Oakland County, Michigan (42°32'36.6"N, 83°27'24.4"W). The site, 2.9 acres in size, consists of 1 metal sided storage building (approximately 35,000 square feet, 1 cinder block building, 1 rolloff box, and approximately 280 55-gallon drums containing mostly component resins of methylene bisphenyl isocyanate (MDI) and polyols (aromatic amines and polyethers). The site is currently owned by Jim Howard.

The site is bordered by an active Grand Trunk railroad track to the north, Welch Road to the west, a vacant area to the south, and a wooded area to the east. The site is situated in a general manufacturing and residential area.

According to file information, different owners and operators have used the facility for the manufacturing of polyurethane bumpers, utilizing a plastic injection molding process. Information also indicated the facility has been vacant for the past 6 years.

2. Description of threat

On May 27, 1994, a fire caused damage to the office area of the main building, but did not affect the drums that had been abandoned. It was reported during the fire investigation, that vandals had entered the building in the past, puncturing and turning over drums, spilling their contents onto the floor.

C. Preliminary Assessment/Site Inspection Results

Upon request from MDNR, U.S. EPA conducted a site assessment on June 6, 1994. U.S. EPA determined the site contained hazardous wastes, and also determined that the integrity of the building had been compromised due to the fire. Site conditions were stabilized by an EERB OSC during the initial response.

IV. RESPONSE INFORMATION

A. Situation

1. Current situation

Under a Consent Order, the PRPs contractor (Enmanco), has initiated removal activities. On March 15, 1995, the OSC and TAT met with the PRP and the PRPs contractor (Enmanco) on site to

discuss removal actions required and to observe current site conditions.

2. PRP removal activities to date

On April 17, 1995, the PRPs contractor mobilized to the site. As of April 25, 1995, Enmanco has sampled 241 drums and have submitted the samples to Eagle Laboratory, Wixom, Michigan, for analysis.

B. Next Steps

- o Receive analytical results and arrange for disposal of all site waste streams;
- o Address the on-site rolloff;
- o Dispose of all contaminated materials, including drum contents, waste absorbent and spill material, personal protection equipment (PPE), empty drums, and debris;
- o Develop a plan to determine extent of contamination; and
- o Decontaminate all building surfaces, and prevent any further migration of contaminated materials.

C. Key Issues

NONE

V. **COST INFORMATION**

Estimated TAT costs as of May 1, 1995:

<u>Budgeted</u>	<u>Spent</u>	<u>Remaining</u>
\$ 16,000	\$ 4,887	\$ 11,113